

---

## 12. COUNCIL POLICY - TRAFFIC CALMING DEVICES

---

Author: Executive Officer (Bryn Hannan)  
Qualified Person: Director, Infrastructure and Works (Ted Ross)  
ECM File Reference: Council Policy

### Community Plan Reference:

#### Leading our Community

We will be a progressive, positive community with strong Council leadership, striving to make Our Community's Vision a reality.

The communities of Glenorchy will be confident that Council manages the community's assets soundly for the long-term benefit of the community.

### Strategic or Annual Plan Reference:

#### Leading our Community

- 4.1 Govern in the best interests of our community
- 4.1.1 Manage Council for maximum efficiency, accountability and transparency

### Reporting Brief:

To present a proposed new Traffic Calming Devices Policy to Council for adoption.

### Proposal in Detail.

Attachment 1 is a copy of a proposed new Traffic Calming Devices Policy (**the Policy**). The Policy is recommended to Council for adoption.

The Policy sets out the circumstances in which Council will investigate and consider the installation of traffic calming devices on roads that Council manages.

It has been prepared in response to the significant number of requests that Council officers receive from residents seeking the installation of traffic calming devices in their streets, and the need to provide clarity and consistency around when it is (and is not) appropriate for Council resources to be spent investigating whether the installation of traffic calming devices is an appropriate remedy to the issues which have led to the complaint.

Part of the rationale for the implementation of the Policy is to manage residents expectations and misconceptions that the installation of traffic calming devices (such as road humps) will solve issues such as hooning or will not result in other negative impacts.

**Consultations:**

General Manager  
 Director Corporate Services  
 Manager Corporate Governance

**Human Resource / Financial and Risk Management Implications:**

There are no material human resource or financial implications.

**Risk management**

Risk Identification		Consequence	Likelihood	Rating	Risk Mitigation Treatment
Adopt the recommendation		Minor (C2)	Unlikely (L2)	Low	Expectations are managed by officers providing clear and easy to understand information about the benefits and impacts of traffic calming devices and the relevant considerations from a traffic engineering perspective.
Dissatisfaction with the outcomes in the policy leading to frustration and potential complaints.					
Do not adopt the recommendation		Moderate (C3)	Likely (L4)	Notable	Officers implement procedures and processes in-line with the policy, without a formal governing document.
Processes and procedures around traffic calming devices are inefficient due to a lack of structure and lost opportunity to provide clarity and consistency.					

**Community Consultation and Public Relations Implications:**

There has not been any community consultation undertaken about the policy. The policy itself provides for a four-week period of community consultation wherever it is proposed to install traffic calming devices in a street.

There are not expected to be any material public relations impacts.

**Recommendation:**

That Council:

ADOPT the updated Traffic Calming Devices Policy in the form of Attachment 1.

**Attachments/Annexures**

**1** Traffic Calming Devices Policy

